Theory of inclusive breakup cross section for Borromean nuclei within a four-body spectator model

B. V. Carlson
Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, DCTA,12.228-900 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

T. Frederico
Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, DCTA,12.228-900 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

M. S. Hussein
Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, DCTA,12.228-900 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil
Instituto de Estudos Avançados, Universidade de São Paulo C. P. 72012, 05508-970 São Paulo-SP, Brazil and
Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, C. P. 66318, 05314-970 São Paulo,-SP, Brazil

arXiv:1607.05783v2 [nucl-th] 4 Sep 2016

We develop a model to treat the inclusive non-elastic break up reactions involving weakly bound three-cluster nuclei. Borromean, two-nucleon, halo nuclei are candidates of unstable three-fragments projectiles. The model is based on the theory of inclusive breakup reactions commonly employed in the treatment of incomplete fusion and surrogate method. The theory was developed in the 80's by Ichimura, Autern and Vincent (IAV) [Phys. Rev. C 32, 431 (1985)] [1], Udagawa and Tamura (UT)[Phys. Rev. C 24, 1348 (1981)], [2] and Hussein and McVoy (HM)[Nucl. Phys. A 445, 124 (1985)], [3]. We extend these three-body theories to derive an expression for the fragment yield in the reaction $A\,(a,b)\,X$, where the projectile is $a = x_1 + x_2 + b$. The inclusive breakup cross section is found to be the sum of a generalized four-body form of the elastic breakup cross section plus the inclusive non-elastic breakup cross section which involves the "reaction" cross section of the participant fragments, x_1 and x_2. The final result is similar to the three-body case reviewed in Austern, et al. (Phys. Rep. **154**, 125 (1987)), [4], but with important genuine four-body effects added, both in the elastic breakup cross section, which now contains the full correlations between the participant fragments, and in the inclusive non- elastic breakup. These developments should encourage experimentalists to seek more information about the x_1+x_2 system in the elastic breakup cross section, and to theorists to further develop and extend the surrogate method, based on the inclusive non-elastic breakup part of the b spectrum.

PACS numbers: 24.10Eq, 25.70.Bc, 25.60Gc

INTRODUCTION

Recently interest in extracting the neutron capture cross section by stable nuclei at higher energies through the (d,p) reaction has arisen in part for application to next generation reactors (Fast Breeder Reactors fueled by ^{238}U, and ^{232}Th), and in part for the study of the reaction mechanism of weakly bound stable nuclei. There is also potential application to the production of elements in the r-process of nucleosynthesis [5]. In a recent publication [6], tested the Surrogate Method [7] in the case of (d,p) reaction on the actinide nuclei to be used in these projected reactors. For this purpose, they employed the theory of inclusive non-elastic breakup reactions, where the proton is treated as a spectator, merely scattering off the target, and the neutron is captured by the target, and, at higher energies, inelastically scattering from the target. Other papers on the (d,p) reaction were also published in 2015 dealing with the same issue [8, 9]. Ref. [8] also discussed the application of this hybrid picture (direct breakup followed by compound nucleus formation of the subsystem) to the reaction ^{6}Li $+^{209}$Bi $\rightarrow \alpha + X$, at $E_{Lab.} = 24$ MeV and 32 MeV. The theory employed in all these publications was developed in the 80's [1–3]. The inclusive non-elastic breakup part of the cross section has come to be known as the Austern formula which involves the reaction cross section of the "captured" fragment, calculated with a full three-body scattering wave function [4]. At much higher energy deuteron or other breaking projectiles, researchers relied on the very simple but physically transparent Serber model [15], which is a natural limiting approximation of the Austern formula. So far, no attempt has been made to apply the hybrid theory to the extraction of the neutron capture cross section involving radioactive nuclei.

At near-barrier energies the three-body Austern formula alluded to above can in principle calculate the incomplete fusion part of the total fusion cross section. Extension of the three-body Austern form of the inclusive breakup cross section to the case of reactions induced by secondary beams of three-fragments projectiles, such as the weakly bound stable nucleus ^{9}Be $= \alpha + \alpha$ + n, and Borromean, two-neutron halo, nuclei like ^{6}He $= \alpha$ + n + n, ^{11}Li $= ^9$Li + n + n, ^{14}Be $= ^{12}$Be + n + n, and ^{22}C $= ^{20}$C + n + n, is certainly important as more data on these reactions have become available. Data on complete fusion and total fusion around the Coulomb barrier are currently being obtained and analyzed using the effective two-body "four-body" Continuum Discretized Coupled Channels model, which is basically unable to calculate the incomplete fusion part of the total fusion cross section [11], requiring urgent derivation and developments of the the four-body inclusive breakup cross section. This is the purpose of this paper.

DERIVATION OF THE 4-BODY AUSTERN FORMULA

In the following, we supply the full details of the derivation of the exact four-body formula for inclusive non-elastic breakup cross section, We write the full Hamiltonian of the system, $x_1 + x_2 + b + A$ as,

$$H = K_{x_1} + K_{x_2} + K_b + V_{bx_1} + V_{bx_2} + V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A} + V_{bA} + + h_b + h_{x_1} + h_{x_2} + h_A \tag{1}$$

where the h's denote the intrinsic Hamiltonians, K_i the kinetic energy operator of fragment i, and The V's are the microscopic interaction between pairs of fragments.

To proceed with the derivation we make the following important approximations:

1. The fragments, x_1, x_2 and b are structureless,

$$h_b = h_{x_1} = h_{x_2} = 0 \tag{2}$$

   The interaction V_{bA} is replaced by the complex optical potential U_{bA}. This corresponds to treating b as a spectator.

2. The target is much more massive compared to the projectile and one sets $K_A = 0$ (the adiabatic assumption).

We call the reduced Hamiltonian of the system, H_{sm}, where sm stands for "spectator model".

$$H_{sm} = K_{x_1} + K_{x_2} + K_b + V_{bx_1} + V_{bx_2} + V_{x_1x_2} + V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A} + U_b + h_A \tag{3}$$

The still many-body Schrödinger equation is now,

$$H_{sm}\Xi(\mathbf{r}_{x_1},\mathbf{r}_{x_2},\mathbf{r}_b,A)=E\Xi(\mathbf{r}_{x_1},\mathbf{r}_{x_2},\mathbf{r}_b,A) \tag{4}$$

where the argument A inside the many-body wave function $\Xi(\mathbf{r}_{x_1},\mathbf{r}_{x_2},\mathbf{r}_b,A)$ stands for the A internal coordinates of the target nucleus. The exact wave function of the x_1+x_2+A system is denoted by Ψ^c_{xA}, with c corresponding to a state in this system. This state can be bound or unbound (continuum or scattering). The three-body eigenvalue equation which describes the x_1+x_2+A system is,

$$[K_{x_1}+K_{x_2}+V_{x_1A}+V_{x_2A}+V_{x_1x_2}+h_A]\Psi^c_{xA}\equiv H_{xA}\Psi^c_{xA}=E^c\Psi^c_{xA} \tag{5}$$

We are now in a position to calculate the inclusive breakup cross section. The process is $a+A\to b+(x_1+x_2+A)$, and the cross section to be calculated is the double differential cross section for observing the spectator fragment, b, $d^2\sigma_b/(dE_bd\Omega_b)$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_bd\Omega_b}=\frac{2\pi}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\sum_c\left|\left\langle\chi^{(-)}_b(\mathbf{r}_b)\Psi^c_{xA}\left|V_{bX}\right|\Xi(\mathbf{r}_{x_1},\mathbf{r}_{x_2},\mathbf{r}_b,A)\right\rangle\right|^2\delta(E-E_b-E^c) \tag{6}$$

where $V_{bX}\equiv V_{bx_1}+V_{bx_2}$ and $\chi^{(-)}_b(\mathrm{r}_b)$ is the distorted wave of the spectator fragment,

$$\left[E_b-U^\dagger_b-K_b\right]\left|\chi^{(-)}_b(\mathrm{r}_b)\right\rangle=0 \tag{7}$$

In the above equation, the complex conjugate of the optical potential operator appears, owing to the fact that the distorted wave being calculated is the one with outgoing wave boundary condition. If the solution required is $\chi^{(+)}_b(\mathrm{r}_b)$, then the equation becomes $[E_b-U_b-K_b]\chi^{(+)}_b(\mathrm{r}_b)=0$. The density of states of the b fragment is given by, $\rho_b(E_b)=\mu_bk_b/[(2\pi)^3\hbar^2]$, is just the result of the change of variables: $d\mathbf{k}_b/(2\pi)^3=k_b^2dk_bd\Omega_b/(2\pi)^3=\mu_bk_b/[(2\pi)^3\hbar^2]dE_bd\Omega_b=\rho_b(E_b)dE_bd\Omega_b$. The triple differential cross section is given by $d^3\sigma_b/[d\mathbf{k}_b/(2\pi)^3]$ and it is just the formulae above without the density of states factor.

The exact spectator inclusive breakup cross section, Eq. (6), can be reduced to the following form,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_bd\Omega_b}=-\frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)Im\left[\left\langle\Xi\left|V_{bX}\right|\chi^{(-)}_b\right\rangle G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}\left\langle\chi^{(-)}_b\left|V_{bX}\right|\Xi\right\rangle\right] \tag{8}$$

where $G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}$ is the x_1+x_2+A Green's function, given by,

$$G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}=\frac{1}{E-E_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1A}-V_{x_2A}-V_{x_1x_2}+i\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{E-E_b-H_{x_1x_2A}+i\varepsilon} \tag{9}$$

In all he above equations, $E^c=E-E_b$ is shorthand notation for the total energy of the x_1+x_2+A system, as defined in Eq.(5). In deriving the above equation we have used several identities: $\delta(E-E_b-E^c)=-(1/\pi)Im[E-E_b-E^c+i\varepsilon]^{-1}$. Then, $[E-E_b-E^c+i\varepsilon]^{-1}\langle\Psi^c_{xA}|=\langle\Psi^c_{xA}|[E-E_b-H_{x_1x_2A}]^{-1}=\langle\Psi^c_{x_1x_2A}|G^{(+)}_{x_2x_2A}(E^c)$. Once we remove the c dependence from the Green's function we can use closure to perform the sum over c. Eq. (8), is not very useful since the wave function $|\Xi\rangle$ is a many-body wave function and the process at hand is basically a three-body one. Therefore, we use the approximation $|\Xi\rangle\approx|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\Phi^0_A\rangle$, where $|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle$ is the exact four-body scattering wave function, and $|\Phi^0_A\rangle$ is the ground state wave function of the target. The cross section then acquires the form,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_bd\Omega_b}=-\frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)Im\left[\langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\left|V_{bX}\right|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle\langle\Phi^0_A|G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}|\Phi^0_A\rangle\left\langle\chi^{(-)}_b\left|V_{bX}\right|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\right\rangle\right] \tag{10}$$

The target ground state expectation value of the three-body Green's function, $\langle\Psi^0_A|G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}|\Phi^0_A\rangle$ requires a special attention. Since $V_{x_1x_2}$ does not have any reference to the target degrees of freedom, we can just lump it to the energy E_x, and perform the average using the usual projection operator techniques. The result is

$$\langle\Phi^0_A|G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}|\Phi^0_A\rangle=\frac{1}{E_x-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{x_1x_2}+i\varepsilon}\equiv G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2} \tag{11}$$

where we have defined the complex optical potential of x_1+x_2 system, $U_{x_1x_2}$ as

$$U_{x_1x_2}=U_{x_1}+U_{x_2}+U_{3B} \tag{12}$$

The U_x's are the complex optical potentials of the x_1 and x_2 fragments and U_{3B} is a three-body complex (optical) average potential that describes processes which involve the excitation of the target by one fragment and its de-excitation by the other fragment.

We now derive the imaginary part of the A-averaged Green's function of Eq. (11), $G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2}$. First we re-write this Green's function as

$$G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2} = \frac{1}{E_x - H_{x_1x_2} - U_{x_1x_2} + i\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{E_x - H_0 - (V_{x_1x_2} + U_{x_1x_2}) + i\varepsilon} \tag{13}$$

where $H_{x_1x_2} \equiv K_{x_1} + K_{x_2} + V_{x_1x_2} = H_0 + V_{x_1x_2}$ is Hermitian. Accordingly, the full potential, $V_{x_1x_2} + U_{x_1x_2}$ in the average, optical, Green's function is the sum of a real $x_1 + x_2$ interaction which generates correlations among these two fragments, plus the three-body optical potential of these fragments, Eq.(12), which we re-write $U = ReU - iW$. To simplify the notation, we call the interaction $V_X \equiv [Re\, U_X + V_X] - iW_X$, where now $X \equiv x_1x_2$. We now use an identity derived by several authors [12, 13]

$$Im G^{(+)}_X \;=\; -\pi\, \Omega^{(-)}_X\, \delta(E_x - H_0)\, (\Omega^{(-)}_X)^\dagger - (G^{(+)}_X)^\dagger\, W_X\, G^{(+)}_X \tag{14}$$

where the Möller operator $\Omega^{(-)}_X = [1 + G^{(-)}_X (V_X)^\dagger]$. When operating on a plane wave $|\mathbf{k}_X\rangle$ it generates a "three-body" distorted wave $\left|\psi^{3B(-)}_{\mathbf{k}_X}\right\rangle$. The fragments, x_1 and x_2 are scattered by the target and are interacting with each other through the two-body potential V_X. They are also distorted by the "three-body" optical potential which also generates correlation. Thus, the total correlating interaction between the two unobserved fragments should be taken as $V_X + U_{3B}$.

The final result for the 4-body inclusive breakup cross section is, from Eq.(10),

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\left[\langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0|V_{bX}|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle \sum_{\mathbf{k}_X}\Omega^{(-)}_X|\mathbf{k}_X\rangle\, \delta(E_x - E_{\mathbf{k}_X})\, \langle\mathbf{k}_X|(\Omega^{(-)}_X)^\dagger\langle\chi^{(-)}_b\,|V_{bX}|\,\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle + \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{\pi}\langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0|V_{bX}|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle (G^{(+)}_X)^\dagger\, W_X\, G^{(+)}_X \langle\chi^{(-)}_b|V_{bX}|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle\right] \tag{15}$$

The cross section then becomes,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\sum_{\mathbf{k}_X}\left|\langle\chi^{3B(-)}_X\chi^{(-)}_b|V_{bX}|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle\right|^2 \delta(E - E_b - E_{\mathbf{k}_X}) +$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\left[\langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0|V_{bX}(G^{(+)}_X)^\dagger|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle[W_{x_1} + W_{x_2} + W_{3B}]\langle\chi^{(-)}_b|G^{(+)}_X V_{bX}|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle\right] \tag{16}$$

We now write $V_{bx_1} + V_{bx_2} = [V_{bx_1} + V_{bx_2} + H_0 + V_X + U_X - E] - [H_0 + V_X + U_X - E]$. Then the matrix element $\langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0|[V_{bx_1} + V_{bx_2}](G^{(+)}_X)^\dagger|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle$ becomes -$\langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0|[H_0 + V_X + U_X - E](G^{(+)}_X)^\dagger|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle = \langle\Psi^{4B(+)}_0|\chi^{(-)}_b\rangle$. Accordingly, the inclusive breakup cross section becomes,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{d^2\sigma^{EB}_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} + \frac{d^2\sigma^{INEB}_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} \tag{17}$$

where the four-body elastic breakup cross section is,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{EB}_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\sum_{\mathbf{k}_X}\left|\langle\chi^{3B(-)}_X\chi^{(-)}_b|[V_{bx_1} + V_{bx_2}]|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle\right|^2 \delta(E - E_b - E_{\mathbf{k}_X}) \tag{18}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{INEB}_b}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\langle\hat{\rho}_X|(W_{x_1} + W_{x_2} + W_{3B})|\hat{\rho}_X\rangle \tag{19}$$

with the source function

$$\hat{\rho}_X(\mathbf{r}_{x_1}, \mathbf{r}_{x_2}) = (\chi^{(-)}_b|\Psi^{4B(+)}_0\rangle = \int d\mathbf{r}_b(\chi^{(-)}_b(\mathbf{r}_b))^\dagger \Psi^{4B(+)}_0(\mathbf{r}_b, \mathbf{r}_{x_1}, \mathbf{r}_{x_2}) \tag{20}$$

depending only on the coordinates of x_1 and x_2.

ANALYSIS OF THE 4B INCLUSIVE BREAKUP CROSS SECTION

The above equations, Eqs.(18, 19) are the main result of this paper. They supply the spectrum of a fragment in the breakup of a three-fragment projectile. They represent an important non-trivial generalization of the well known case of the breakup of a two-fragment projectile. The elastic breakup formula involves the three fragments in the continuum, two of which are not observed, and they are correlated. It would be very interesting to perform a measurement of coincidence of b and, say, x_1 or better yet a three fragment coincidence of the three fragments to learn about the correlations between the participant x_1 and x_2.

The second equation, Eq.(19), is the four-body inclusive non-elastic breakup cross section. It differs significantly from the three-body Austern formula [4]. We refer to Eq.(19) as the Carlson- Frederico-Hussein (CFH) formula. The major new features present can be quantified by writing the formula as a sum of three terms,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b^{INEB}}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{k_a}{E_a}\left[\frac{E_{x_1}}{k_{x_1}}\sigma_R^{x_1} + \frac{E_{x_2}}{k_{x_2}}\sigma_R^{x_2} + \sqrt{\frac{E_{x_1}}{k_{x_1}}\frac{E_{x_2}}{k_{x_2}}}\sigma_R^{3B}\right] \tag{21}$$

where,

$$\sigma_R^{x_1} = \frac{k_{x_1}}{E_{x_1}}\langle\hat{\rho}_{x_1,x_2}|W_{x_1}|\hat{\rho}_{x_1,x_2}\rangle, \tag{22}$$

$$\sigma_R^{x_2} = \frac{k_{x_2}}{E_{x_2}}\langle\hat{\rho}_{x_1,x_2}|W_{x_2}|\hat{\rho}_{x_1,x_2}\rangle, \tag{23}$$

and,

$$\sigma_R^{3B} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{x_1}}{E_{x_1}}\frac{k_{x_2}}{E_{x_2}}}\langle\hat{\rho}_{x_1,x_2}|W_{3B}|\hat{\rho}_{x_1,x_2}\rangle \tag{24}$$

The cross section, $\sigma_R^{x_1}$ represents the absorption of fragment x_1 by the target, while fragment x_2 just scatters off the target through the optical potential U_{x_1A}. The second cross section, $\sigma_R^{x_2}$ is just the exchange of the role of these two fragments; fragment x_2 is captured by the target and fragment x_1 is scattered. Note that these cross sections are different from the one which appears in the three-body theory. The three -body sub-system $x_1 - x_2 - A$ is not treated within the spectator model, while the b- x -A system is. Thus we anticipate that, say, $\sigma_R^{x_1}$ in a (t,p) reaction, to be different from $\sigma_R^{x_1}$ extracted from a (d,p) reaction. We return to this point later. Finally the last cross section, σ_R^{3B}, is new and a genuine three-body absorption cross section. In the following we take a critical look at its structure.

We remind the reader that W_{3B} results from the average of processes involving the excitation of the target by one of the fragment and its de-excitation by the other fragment as illustrated in Fig. 1.

In the language of projection operators, the 3B optical potential, U_{3B}, whose imaginary part is -W_{3B}, is given by

$$U_{3B} = PV_{x_1A}Q(QG_{XA}(E_x)Q)QV_{x_2A}P + PV_{x_2A}Q(QG_{XA}(E_x)Q)QV_{x_1A}P \tag{25}$$

where, the Q-projected 3B Green's function, $QG_{XA}(E_x)Q \equiv QG_{XA}Q$, is given by

$$QG_{XA}Q = \frac{1}{E_x - QH_0Q + Q[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]PG_0P[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]Q + i\varepsilon} \tag{26}$$

The imaginary part of U_{3B} is now easily calculated. Since $[V_{x_1A}+V_{x_2A}]\,PG_0P\,[V_{x_1A}+V_{x_2A}]$ is non-Hermitian operator, the calculation proceeds as in Eq. (14),

$$\begin{aligned} Im[QG_{XA}Q] = -\pi\Omega_Q^{(-)}\delta(E_x - QH_0Q)(\Omega_Q^{(-)})^\dagger + \\ - (QG_{XA}Q)^\dagger Q[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]\,\delta(E_x - PH_0P)\,[V_{x_2A} + V_{x_2A}]QGQ \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3B} = \pi[PV_{x_1A}Q\Omega_Q^{(-)}\delta(E_x - QH_0Q)(\Omega_Q^{(-)})^\dagger QV_{x_2A}P + (x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2) + \\ + PV_{x_1A}Q(QGQ)^\dagger Q[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]P\delta(E_x - PH_0P)P[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]Q(QGQ)QV_{x_2A}P + \\ + PV_{x_2A}Q(QGQ)^\dagger Q[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]P\delta(E_x - PH_0)P)P[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]Q(QGQ)QV_{x_1A}P] \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$



FIG. 1. Three-body optical potential U_{3B}. Excitation of the target by particle x_1 and de-excitation by x_2 (see Eq. (25)).

Therefore the reactive content of W_{3B} is simple to discern. The first term corresponds to the already announced excitation of the target by one fragment followed by a de-excitation through the action of the second fragment. The last two terms corresponds to absorption of the two fragments by the target. There are eight terms which describe the different ways this absorption is manifested. It is evident that a detailed evaluation of U_{3B} is a formidable task. We venture to hypothesize the following. The first term above is replaced by a non-local separable term with an energy-dependent "strength"' such that the exitation-de-excitation term is represented by $F_1(r)f(E)F_2(r')$. If the two fragments are identical, such as the case of two neutrons, then $F_1 = F_2$. The second and third term, the "capture" part, is replaced by a single term which involves the reaction/fusion of two correlated fragments. The correlation is induced by the interaction $V_{x_1x_2}$, which besides scattering the two fragments, could bind them in a resonance or quasi-bound state. Accordingly we replace the very complicated structure above by a simple effective two-body fusion. This applies to the calculation of the reaction cross section, σ_R^{3B}. Further study of this matter is in progress.

At this point it is important to mention that the calculation of [6] is based on the prior form of the DWBA version of the, three-body, Austern cross section, while those of [8], and [9] are based on the post form of the DWBA version of that formula. The relation between these two versions were discussed in [14, 16], and a brief account of derivation of this relation is presented in the appendix.

DISTORTED WAVE EXPANSION OF THE FOUR-BODY BREAKUP CROSS SECTION

The derivation of a DWBA three-body inclusive breakup cross section which results in the IAV, UT, and HM expressions, and the subsequent derivation of the relation between these cross sections, relied on the use of the dominant Faddeev component of the three-body wave function [16]. To obtain a similar formulas in the four-body inclusive breakup cross section, we have to rely on the Faddeev-Yakubovsky decomposition [18].

The Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations

The full scattering wave function is reconstructed from the Faddeev components as:

$$|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = \sum_{i>j} |F_{ij}^{(+)}\rangle \tag{29}$$

where

$$|F_{ij}^{(+)}\rangle = G_0^{(+)} v_{ij} |\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \tag{30}$$

with the two-body potential v_{ij} between the particles i and j, the free four-body resolvent $G_0^{(+)} = [E - H_0 + \imath\epsilon]^{-1}$ and kinetic energy H_0.

These components are further decomposed in terms of the Faddeev-Yakubovsky (FY) amplitudes [18],

$$|F_{ij}^{(+)}\rangle = |K_{ij,k}^{l(+)}\rangle + |K_{ij,l}^{k(+)}\rangle + |H_{ij,kl}^{(+)}\rangle, \tag{31}$$

which are defined as

$$|K_{ij,k}^{l(+)}\rangle = G_{ij}^{(+)} v_{ij} G_0^{(+)} (v_{ik} + v_{jk}) |\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \ (i<j), \tag{32}$$

$$|H_{ij,kl}^{(+)}\rangle = G_{ij}^{(+)} v_{ij} G_0^{(+)} v_{kl} |\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \ (i<j, k<l), \tag{33}$$

where $G_{ij}^{(+)}$ is two-body resolvent within the four-body system and system given by $G_{ij}^{(+)} = [E - H_0 - v_{ij} + \imath\epsilon]^{-1}$.

The amplitude K and H may also be written in terms of the Faddeev components as:

$$|K_{ij,k}^{l(+)}\rangle = G_{ij}^{(+)} v_{ij} (|F_{ik}^{(+)}\rangle + |F_{jk}^{(+)}\rangle) \ (i<j), \tag{34}$$

$$|H_{ij,kl}^{(+)}\rangle = G_{ij}^{(+)} v_{ij} |F_{kl}^{(+)}\rangle \ (i<j, k<l). \tag{35}$$

Substituting Eq. (31) in Eqs. (34) and (35) and using that $G_{ij}^{(+)} v_{ij} = G_0^{(+)} t_{ij}$, and introducing the boundary condition we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{ij,k}^{l(+)}\rangle =& |\Psi_{ij,k}^{3B}\,;\, k_l\rangle + G_0^{(+)}\, t_{ij}(E - E_{ij,k} - E_l)[|K_{ik,j}^{l(+)}\rangle + |K_{jk,i}^{l(+)}\rangle \\ &+ |K_{ik,l}^{j(+)}\rangle + |K_{jk,l}^{i(+)}\rangle + |H_{ik,jl}^{(+)}\rangle + |H_{jk,il}^{(+)}\rangle], \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$|H_{ij,kl}^{(+)}\rangle = G_0^{(+)}\, t_{ij}(E - E_{ij,kl} - E_{kl})[|K_{kl,i}^{j(+)}\rangle + |K_{kl,j}^{i(+)}\rangle + |H_{kl,ij}^{(+)}\rangle], \tag{37}$$

expressing the collision of an incoming bound three-body system $\{ijk\}$ with the target particle l. The amplitude $|\Psi_{ij,k}^{3B}\rangle = G_0(E_B)\, v_{ij} |\Psi_{ijk}^{3B}\rangle$ is the Faddeev component of the three-body bound state wave function of the projectile $|\Psi_{ijk}^{3B}\rangle$ composed by particles $\{ijk\}$. The relative momentum state of the projectile to the target (l) is $|\, k_l\rangle$.

Derivation of the CFH formula within the Faddeev-Yakubovsky Formalism

The full scattering wave function is a solution of the Lippman-Schwinger equation:

$$|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(V_{x_1b} + V_{x_2b})\,|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \tag{38}$$

where the Green's function,

$$G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b} = [E - K_{x_1} - K_{x_2} - K_b - V_{x_1x_2} - U_{x_1A} - U_{x_2A} - U_{bA} + \imath\epsilon]^{-1} \tag{39}$$

also contains the optical potential U_{bA} and can be expressed as:

$$G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b} = G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2} + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(U_{x_1A} + U_{x_2A} + U_{bA})\,G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2} \tag{40}$$

and

$$|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2}\,(V_{x_1b} + V_{x_2b})\,|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(U_{x_1A} + U_{x_2A} + U_{bA})\,G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2}\,(V_{x_1b} + V_{x_2b})\,|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \tag{41}$$

and using that:

$$G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2} = G_0^{(+)} + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2}\,V_{x_1x_2}\,G_0^{(+)} \tag{42}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = \Big(1 + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2}\,V_{x_1x_2}\Big)\,G_0^{(+)}\,(V_{x_1b} + V_{x_2b} + U_{bA})\,|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle + \\ + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(U_{x_1A} + U_{x_2A} + U_{bA})\,\Big(1 + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2}\,V_{x_1x_2}\Big)\,G_0^{(+)}\,(V_{x_1b} + V_{x_2b})\,|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Introducing the definitions of the F-Y components, namely:

$$|F^{(+)}_{x_1b}\rangle = G_0^{(+)}\,V_{x_1b}|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \tag{44}$$

$$|F^{(+)}_{x_2b}\rangle = G_0^{(+)}\,V_{x_2b}|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \tag{45}$$

$$|K^{A(+)}_{x_1x_2\,,b}\rangle = G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2}\,V_{x_1x_2}\,\Big(|F^{(+)}_{x_1b}\rangle + |F^{(+)}_{x_2b}\rangle\Big) \tag{46}$$

we get that:

$$|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = \Big[1 + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(U_{x_1A} + U_{x_2A} + U_{bA})\Big]\,\Big(|F^{(+)}_{x_1b}\rangle + |F^{(+)}_{x_2b}\rangle + |K^{A(+)}_{x_1x_2\,,b}\rangle\Big) \tag{47}$$

Using the decomposition of definition of the Faddeev components in terms of the F-Y components:

$$|F^{(+)}_{x_1b}\rangle = |K^{A(+)}_{x_1b\,,x_2}\rangle + |K^{x_2(+)}_{x_1b\,,A}\rangle + |H^{(+)}_{x_1b\,,x_2A}\rangle \tag{48}$$

$$|F^{(+)}_{x_2b}\rangle = |K^{A(+)}_{x_2b\,,x_1}\rangle + |K^{x_1(+)}_{x_2b\,,A}\rangle + |H^{(+)}_{x_2b\,,x_1A}\rangle \tag{49}$$

$$\tag{50}$$

we find the form of the four-body scattering state

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = \Big[1 + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(U_{x_1A} + U_{x_2A} + U_{bA})\Big]\,\Big(|K^{A(+)}_{x_1b\,,x_2}\rangle + |K^{A(+)}_{x_2b\,,x_1}\rangle + |K^{A(+)}_{x_1x_2\,,b}\rangle + \\ + |K^{x_2(+)}_{x_1b\,,A}\rangle + |H^{(+)}_{x_1b\,,x_2A}\rangle + |K^{x_1(+)}_{x_2b\,,A}\rangle + |H^{(+)}_{x_2b\,,x_1A}\rangle\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Isolating the first three F-Y components of the $K-$type, with A as spectator, which seems to be dominant contribution to the four-body scattering, we make the following approximation:

$$|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \approx \Big[1 + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(U_{x_1A} + U_{x_2A} + U_{bA})\Big]\,\Big(|K^{A(+)}_{x_1b\,,x_2}\rangle + |K^{A(+)}_{x_2b\,,x_1}\rangle + |K^{A(+)}_{x_1x_2\,,b}\rangle\Big) \approx |\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{52}$$

where $\chi_A^{(+)}$ is the scattering wave of the C.M. of the three-body projectile and $\Phi_{x_1x_2b}$ is the projectile internal wave function. Therefore, using this dominant F-Y components of the four-body wave function, we can make the following approximation for the continuum four-body wave function as:

$$|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle = G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(V_{x_1b}+V_{x_2b})\,|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \approx\; G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(V_{x_1b}+V_{x_2b})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{53}$$

The relevant term in the inelastic breakup formula (19) is

$$\tau_X = \langle\Psi_0^{4B(+)}|\chi_b^{(-)}\rangle(W_{x_1}+W_{x_2}+W_{3B})\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\Psi_0^{4B(+)}\rangle \tag{54}$$

which will be manipulated with the help of our approximation of the four-body scattering wave function (53) as follows:

$$\tau_X \approx\; \langle\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}|\,(V_{x_1b}+V_{x_2b})\,G^{(+)\,\dagger}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}|\chi_b^{(-)}\rangle(W_{x_1}+W_{x_2}+W_{3B})\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(V_{x_1b}+V_{x_2b})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{55}$$

where we introduce the spectator distorted wave function as:

$$|\hat{\rho}_b^{CFH}\rangle = \langle\chi_b^{(-)}|G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(V_{x_1b}+V_{x_2b})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{56}$$

We can rewrite the above formula by using the eigenvalue equations:

$$(E-K_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1b}-V_{x_2b}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle = 0 \tag{57}$$

$$\left(E_b-K_b-U^{\dagger}_{b\,A}\right)|\chi_b^{(-)}\rangle = 0 \tag{58}$$

and manipulating this equation we identify that:

$$(V_{x_1b}+V_{x_2b})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle = (E-K_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{59}$$

Substituting Eq. (59) in (56) we get

$$|\hat{\rho}_b^{CFH}\rangle = \langle\chi_b^{(-)}|G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(E-K_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{60}$$

and reminding that $G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}$ contains the optical potential of b with respect to A

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{\rho}_b^{CFH}\rangle &= \langle\chi_b^{(-)}|G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A\,,b}\,(E-K_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle\\ &= G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b)\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\,(E-K_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

where

$$G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b) = [E-E_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{x_1A}-U_{x_2A}+\imath\epsilon]^{-1} \tag{62}$$

and using once more Eq.(58), one gets from (61) that:

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{\rho}_b^{CFH}\rangle &= G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b)\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\,(E-E_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}+U_{bA}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle\\ &= G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b)\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\,(E-E_b-K_{x_1}-K_{x_2}-V_{x_1x_2}-U_{x_1A}-U_{x_2A})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle\\ &\quad +G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b)\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\,(U_{x_1A}+U_{x_2A}+U_{bA}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

and finally:

$$|\hat{\rho}_b^{CFH}\rangle = \langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle + G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b)\langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\,(U_{x_1A}+U_{x_2A}+U_{bA}-U_{aA})\,|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{64}$$

which generalizes our previous formula for a two-body composite projectile [16] for a three-body projectile. The Ichimura, Austern and Vincent formula extended to the four-body situation, with a three-body projectile plus the target:

$$|\hat{\rho}_b^{CFH}\rangle = |\hat{\rho}_{HM}^{4B}\rangle + |\hat{\rho}_{UT}^{4B}\rangle \tag{65}$$

and introducing HM and UT at the four-body level we write that:

$$|\hat{\rho}_{HM}^{4B}\rangle = \langle\chi_b^{(-)}|\chi_a^{(+)}\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{66}$$

and

$$|\hat{\rho}^{4B}_{UT}\rangle = G^{(+)}_{x_1\,x_2\,A}(E-E_b)\langle\chi^{(-)}_b|\left(U_{x_1A}+U_{x_2A}+U_{bA}-U_{aA}\right)|\chi^{(+)}_a\,,\,\Phi_{x_1x_2b}\rangle \tag{67}$$

these source functions are used in the cross-section formula (21) to separate out the HM and UT contributions in addition to a interference term.

The cross sections, $\sigma_R^{x_1}$, $\sigma_R^{x_2}$, of Eq. (21) are related to the IAV cross section of the three-body theory through a convolution of the latter with the distorted wave densities, namely, $|\chi^{(+)}_{x_2}(\mathbf{r}_{x_2})|^2$, and $|\chi^{(+)}_{x_1}(\mathbf{r}_{x_1})|^2$, respectively. This can be easily seen if an eikonal-type approximation of the projectile distorted wave, $\chi^{(+)}_a = \chi^{(+)}_b\chi^{(+)}_{x_1}\chi^{(+)}_{x_2}$ is used. These distorted wave densities come from the solutions of a non-Hermitian Schrödinger equation with the respective optical potentials. In Ref. [19], these distorted wave densities were calculated and found to be related to the reaction cross section of x_1 and of x_2. To be more specific, we first write the source function $\hat{\rho}^{4B}_{HM}$, Eq. (66)

$$\langle\mathbf{r}_{x_1},\mathbf{r}_{x_2}|\hat{\rho}^{4B}_{HM}\rangle = \int d\mathbf{r}_b\Phi_{x_1x_2b}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}},\mathbf{r_{x_2}},\mathbf{r_b})\langle\chi^{(-)}_{\mathbf{b}}|\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{b}}\rangle(\mathbf{r_b})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x_1}}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x_2}}(\mathbf{r_{x_2}}) \tag{68}$$

The overlap function $\langle\chi^{(-)}_b|\chi^{(+)}_b\rangle(\mathbf{r_b})$ is the integrand of the elastic S-matrix element of the spectator fragment, b, $S_{\mathbf{k}'_b,\mathbf{k}_b} = \int d\mathbf{r}_b\langle\chi^{(-)}_b|\chi^{(+)}_b\rangle(\mathbf{r_b})$. Thus, we introduce the internal motion modified S-matrix of the b fragment, $\hat{S}_b(\mathbf{r_{x_1}},\mathbf{r_{x_2}}) \equiv \int \mathbf{dr_b}\mathbf{\Phi_{x_1x_2b}}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}},\mathbf{r_{x_2}},\mathbf{r_b})\langle\chi^{(-)}_{\mathbf{b}}|\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{b}}\rangle(\mathbf{r_b})$. This then allows writing the source function as,

$$\langle\mathbf{r_{x_1}},\mathbf{r_{x_2}}|\hat{\rho}^{\mathbf{4B}}_{\mathbf{HM}}\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}},\mathbf{r_{x_2}})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x_1}}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x_2}}(\mathbf{r_{x_2}}) \tag{69}$$

The cross section, $\sigma_R^{x_1}$, Eq. (22), becomes,

$$\frac{E_{x_1}}{k_{x_1}}\sigma_R^{x_1} = \int d\mathbf{r_{x_2}}|\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x_2}}(\mathbf{r_{x_2}})|^{\mathbf{2}}\int \mathbf{dr_{x_1}}|\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}},\mathbf{r_{x_2}})|^{\mathbf{2}}\left[\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}})|\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x_1}}(\mathbf{r_{x_1}})|^{\mathbf{2}}\right] \tag{70}$$

to be compared to the two-body reaction cross section of the fragment x, in the breakup of a two-cluster projectile, a = x + b,

$$\frac{E_x}{k_x}\sigma_R^{x} = \int d\mathbf{r_x}|\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r_x})|^{\mathbf{2}}\left[\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r_x})|\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{r_x})|^{\mathbf{2}}\right] \tag{71}$$

where,

$$\hat{S}_b(\mathbf{r_x}) = \int \mathbf{dr_b}\mathbf{\Phi_{xb}}(\mathbf{r_x},\mathbf{r_b})\langle\chi^{(-)}_{\mathbf{b}}|\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{b}}\rangle(\mathbf{r_b}) \tag{72}$$

One sees clearly that the 4B cross section is damped compared to the 3B one owing, among other factors, to the presence of the distorted wave density $|\chi^{(+)}_{x_2}(\mathbf{r}_{x_2})|^2$ in the former. This density is a decaying function of $\mathbf{r_{x_2}}$ owing to absorption described by the imaginary part of the optical potential U_{x_2A}. In fact, the inner product $\langle\chi^{(+)}_{x_2,\mathbf{k}'}|\chi^{(+)}_{x_2,\mathbf{k}}\rangle \neq (2\pi)^3\delta(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})$, exactly because of absorption. The orthonormality is recovered if the dual state of $|\chi^{(+)}\rangle$, $|\hat{\chi}^{(+)}\rangle$ is used, $\langle\hat{\chi}^{(+)}_{x_2,\mathbf{k}'}|\chi^{(+)}_{x_2,\mathbf{k}}\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})$. The dual state is the solution of scattering equation with the optical potential replaced by its complex conjugate [19].

In addition, the cross-section formula (70) applied to a three-body halo nuclei, e.g., an weakly bound neutron-neutron-core nuclei close to the drip-line, has built in a long-range correlation between the two neutrons and the core b, through the extended wave function of the halo and $|\chi^{(+)}_{x_2}(\mathbf{r}_{x_2})|^2$.

The same considerations can be made with respect to the cross section $\sigma_R^{x_2}$. Similar observations can be made if the IAV or UT expressions were used. Finally, it is instructive to compare the above cross sections to the "free" one, where x is the primary projectile,

$$\frac{E}{k}\sigma_R = \int \mathbf{dr}\left[W(\mathbf{r})|\chi^{(+)}(\mathbf{r})|^{\mathbf{2}}\right]. \tag{73}$$

An interesting limit to consider is the Serber model [15]. If one sets $\langle \chi_b^{(-)} | \chi_b^{(+)} \rangle (\mathbf{r_b}) = \mathbf{1}$, and replace the projectile intrinsic wave function by its Fourier transform and take it outside the integral, then, Eq.(71), becomes, $\sigma_R^x \propto |\Phi_{xb}(q_{xb})|^2 \sigma_R(E_x)$. The inclusive non-elastic breakup cross section, is then reduced to the Serber cross section [15],

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{d\Omega_b dE_b} = \rho_b(E_b)|\Phi_{xb}(\mathbf{q}_{xb})|^2 \sigma_R(E_x)\,. \tag{74}$$

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have derived the 3-fragment projectile inclusive breakup cross section and pointed out the major differences from the corresponding cross section in the case of two-fragment projectile currently used in the calculations. Our theory permits the study of fragment-fragment correlation through a judicial coincidence measurement of the elastic breakup part of the cross section. The inclusive non-elastic breakup, or incomplete fusion, part of the cross section we have derived formulae reminiscent of the so-called Austern formula, with two major differences. Our 4-body formula contains reference to the three-body nature of the fusing two fragments and to the intrinsically three -body "direct" process, which permits the excitation of the target by one of the fragments followed by the target de-excitation by the other fragment. We called the 4-body formula the CFH expression. The imaginary part of the optical potential is found to be composed by the sum of two one-fragment potentials, plus a new, 3-body part, which contains the fusion of the two fragments. We proposed a simplified model to deal with this three-body absorption term in the imaginary part of this latter potential. Our results should be quite useful in the study of inclusive breakup of Borromean nuclei, where two neutrons are involved in the reaction mechanism. Hybrid theories, such as the Surrogate Method, can now be extended to the case of, say, tritium breakup. The DWBA version of the theory is also developed. Such a distorted wave approximation required the employment of the four-body Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations [17, 18], just as the three-body theory requiring the three-body Faddeev equations [16, 17]. This has been accomplished in the previous section and the results confirms that the general structure of the cross section is similar to the three-body case, with the full post form or the four-body IAV one can be written as the sum of the prior UT cross section plus the four-body HM one plus the interference term. The major difference between the 4B and 3B cases resides in the structure of the reaction cross sections for the absorption of one of the interacting fragments, which we found to be damped by the absorption effect of the other fragment. In general we expect that in cases such as (t,p), the one neutron absorption cross section is smaller than the corresponding one in the (d,p) reaction.

Acknowledgements. This work was partly supported by the Brazilian agencies, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo(FAPESP), the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). MSH also acknowledges a Senior Visiting Professorship granted by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), through the CAPES/ITA-PVS program.

Appendix

1. **The derivation of Eq.(11) using the projection operator techniques**;

If $P = |\Phi_A^0\rangle\langle\Phi_A^0|$, and $Q = 1 - P$, then the average three-body Green's function is $PG^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}P$. Using the Lippmann-Schwinger equation for $G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A} = G^{(+)}_{x_1x_1,0} + G^{(+)}_{x_1x_1,0}[V_{x_1A} + V_{x_2A}]G^{(+)}_{x_1x_2A}$, with $G^{(+)}_{x_1x_1,0} = [E_x - K_{x_1} - K_{x_2} - V_{x_2x_2} + i\varepsilon]^{-1}$ which does not couple P to Q, namely, $PG^{(+)}_{x_1x_1,0}Q = 0$. Then, simplifying the notation, $G = G_0 + G_0VG$, and $G = [E_x - H + i\varepsilon]^{-1}$ we have after P- and Q- projecting

$$PGP = PG_0P + PG_0P + PG_0P(PVP)PGP + PG_0P(PVQ)QGP \tag{75}$$

and

$$QGP = QG_0Q(QVQ)QGP + QG_0Q(QVP)PGP \tag{76}$$

The above two equations can be solved for PGP using well known manipulations and the final solution is

$$PGP = \frac{1}{E_x - PHP - PVQG_QQVP + i\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{1}{E_x - H_0 - PVP - PVQG_QQVP + i\varepsilon} \tag{77}$$

where $G_Q \equiv [E_x - QHQ + i\varepsilon]^{-1}$.
The structure of PGP above is well known and we write it here to make our paper as self contained as possible. It

2. **The imaginary part of a resolvent with non-hermitian terms is calculated as follows**

Write $G_x = \frac{1}{A+B}$ where A is Hermitian and B is not. Then $G^{-1} = A + B + i\varepsilon$ and $(G^{-1})^\dagger = A + B^\dagger - i\varepsilon$. The difference $G^{-1} - (G^{-1})^\dagger = 2i\varepsilon + B - B^\dagger$. The factor $2i\varepsilon$ can be replaced by $G_A^{-1} - (G_A^{-1})^\dagger$, where $G_A = [A + i\varepsilon]^{-1}$. Thus $G^{-1} - (G^{-1})^\dagger = G_A^{-1} - (G_A^{-1})^\dagger + B - B^\dagger$. Multiplying the last equation from the right by G and from the left by $G^\dagger$ we get $G^\dagger - G = -2i\pi[1 + G^\dagger B^\dagger]\delta(A)[1 + BG] + G^\dagger[B - B^\dagger]G$, where we have used $G = G_A + G_ABG = G_A[1 + BG]$, and $G^\dagger = [1 + G^\dagger U^\dagger]G_A^\dagger$. Thus,

$$G - G^\dagger = -2i\pi\Omega^{(-)}\delta(A)(\Omega^{(-)})^\dagger + (G^{(+)})^\dagger[B - B^\dagger]G^{(+)} \tag{78}$$

The Möller operator is $\Omega^{(-)} = [1 + (G^{(+)})^\dagger U^\dagger]$. When operating on the eigenfunction of A it generates a distorted wave.

Thus $\mathrm{Im}G_x^{(+)} = Im[E_x - K_x - U_x + i\varepsilon]^{-1}$ can be calculated easily. We use the identity derived above, Eq.(78).,

$$ImG_x^{(+)} = -\pi\Omega_x^{(-)}\delta(E_x - K_x)(\Omega_x^{(-)})^\dagger - (G_x^{(+)})^\dagger W_x G_x^{(+)} \tag{79}$$

where, $\Omega_x^{(-)} = (1 + G_x^{(-)}U_x^\dagger)$ is the Möller operator, and $-W_x$ is the imaginary part of the x optical potential, $U_x = ReU_x - iW_x$.

3. **The structure of the cross section in the two-fragment projectile case** $a = b + x$

With the formula for Im $G_x^{(+)}$ derived above, the cross section then becomes,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b}{dE_bd\Omega_b} = \frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(EB)}}{dE_bd\Omega_b} + \frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(INEB)}}{dE_bd\Omega_b} \tag{80}$$

where the elastic breakup cross section is given by,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(EB)}}{dE_bd\Omega_b} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\sum_{\mathbf{k}_x}\left|\langle\chi_x^{(-)}\chi_b^{(-)}|V_{bx}|\Psi_0^{(+)}\rangle\right|^2\delta(E - E_b - E_{\mathbf{k}_x}) \tag{81}$$

and the inclusive non-elastic breakup (INEB)

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(INEB)}}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = -\frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\left\langle \Psi_0^{(+)}\,|V_{bx}|\,\chi_b^{(-)})(G_x^{(+)})^\dagger W_x G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}\,|V_{bx}|\,\Psi_0^{(+)}\right\rangle = \tag{82}$$

Writing $V_{xb} = [V_{xb}+K_b+K_x+U_x+U_b-E]-[K_b+K_x+U_b+U_x-E]$ and using $[V_{xb}+K_b+K_x+U_x+U_b-E]|\Psi_0^{(+)}\rangle = 0$, and further using $-G_x(\chi_b^{(-)})^\star[K_b+K_x+U_b+U_x-E] = -G_x^{(+)}[K_x+U_x-E_x](\chi_b^{(-)})^\star = -(\chi_b^{(-)})^\star$, we obtain the Austern equation, Eqs. (83, 84, 85).

The double differential cross section in the post representation has been derived by [4], and further analyzed in Refs. [14, 16]. After a lengthy formal manipulations the resulting double differential cross section of the spectator particle b, is

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega_b dE_b} = \frac{d^2\sigma_{EB}}{d\Omega_b dE_b} - \frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\left\langle \hat{\rho}_{x,exact}\middle|W_x\middle|\hat{\rho}_{x,exact}\right\rangle, \tag{83}$$

Thus the inclusive non-elastic breakup cross section is

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{NEIB}}{d\Omega_b dE_b} = -\frac{2}{\hbar v_a}\rho_b(E_b)\left\langle \hat{\rho}_{x,exact}\middle|W_x\middle|\hat{\rho}_{x,exact}\right\rangle \tag{84}$$

where the x-fragment source function $\hat{\rho}_{x,exact}$ is given by

$$\hat{\rho}_{x.exact}(\mathbf{r_x}) = \left(\chi_{\mathbf{b}}^{(-)}|\mathbf{\Psi}^{(+)}\right\rangle(\mathbf{r_x}) = \int \mathbf{dr_b}\left(\chi^{(-)}(\mathbf{r_b})\right)^\star \mathbf{\Psi_0^{(+)}}(\mathbf{r_b},\mathbf{r_x}) \tag{85}$$

4. The IAV, TU and HM cross sections in the three-body breakup case

Remember that the wave function $|\Psi_0^{(+)}\rangle$ is the exact three-body wave function in the incident channel. To proceed further we use a DWBA approximation for this wave function, $|\Psi_0^{(+)}\rangle$. This requires a careful analysis of the three-body scattering problem and one obtains,

$$|\Psi_0^{(+)}\rangle \approx G_{x,b}^{(+)}V_{xb}|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle \tag{86}$$

where $G_{x,b}^{(+)}$ is the full Green's function $[E-K_b-K_x-U_b-U_x+i\varepsilon]^{-1}$.

Using operator identities, one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}(\chi_b^{(-)}|\Psi_0^{(+)}\rangle &\approx (\chi_b^{(-)}|G_{x,b}^{(+)}V_{xb}|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle \\ &= G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}|(E-K_x-K_b-U_a)|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle \\ &= G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(+)}|(E-K_x+U_b-U_a)|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle \\ &= G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}|(U_x+U_b-U_a)|\chi^{(-)}\phi_a\rangle + G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}|(E_x-K_x-U_x)|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle \\ &= G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}|(U_x+U_b-U_a)|\chi^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle + (\chi_b^{(+)}|\chi^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

Thus we obtain the desired relation,

$$G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}|V_{xb}|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle = G_x^{(+)}(\chi_b^{(-)}|(U_x+U_b-U_a)|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle + (\chi_b^{(-)}|\chi_a^{(+)}\phi_a\rangle \tag{88}$$

or,

$$\hat{\rho}_{x,IAV}(\mathbf{r_x}) = \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{UT}}(\mathbf{r_x}) + \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{HM}}(\mathbf{r_x}) \tag{89}$$

The inclusive non-elastic breakup cross section then acquires the form,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(IAV)}}{dE_b d\Omega_b} = \frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(UT)}}{dE_b d\Omega_b} + \frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(HM)}}{dE_b d\Omega_b} + \frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(INT)}}{dE_b d\Omega_b} \tag{90}$$

where $\frac{d^2\sigma_b^{(INT)}}{dE_b d\Omega_b}$ is the interference contribution proportional to $2Re\langle\hat{\rho}_{x,UT}|W_x|\hat{\rho}_{x,HM}\rangle$.

[1] M. Ichimura, N. Austern, and C. M. Vincent, Phys. Rev. C **32**, 431 (1985).
[2] T. Udagawa and T. Tamura, Phys. Rev. C **24**, 1348 (1981).
[3] M. Hussein and K. McVoy, Nucl. Phys. A **445**, 124 (1985).
[4] N. Austern, Y. Iseri, M. Kamimura, M. Kawai, G. Rawitscher, and M. Yahiro, Phys. Rep. **154**, 125 (1987).
[5] Q. Ducasse et al., arXiv:1512.06334 [nucl-ex]
[6] G. Potel, F. M. Nunes, and I. J. Thompson, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 034611 (2015).
[7] J. E. Escher, J. T. Burke, F. S. Dietrich, N. D. Scielzo, I. J. Thompson, and W. Younes, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 353 (2012).
[8] J. Lei and A. M. Moro, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 044616 (2015); J. Lei and A. M. Moro, C **92**, 061602(R) (2015).
[9] B.V. Carlson, R. Capote, M. Sin, Few-Body Syst. **57**, 307 (2016).
[10] R. Serber, Phys. Rev. **80**, 1098 (1950); Nature **166**, 709 (1950); Proc. Roy. Soc. **208 A**, 55 (1951).
[11] A. Diaz-Torres, and I. J. Thompson, Phys. Rev. C, **65**, 024606 (2002).
[12] A. Kasano and M. Ichimura, Phys. Lett. B **115**, 81 (1982).
[13] M. S. Hussein, Phys. Rev. C **30**, 1962 (1984).
[14] M. Ichimura, Phys. Rev. C **41**, 834 (1990).
[15] R. Serber, Phys. Rev. 80, 1098 (1950); Nature 166, 709 (1950); Proc. Roy. Soc. 208 A, 559 (1951).
[16] M. S. Hussein, T. Frederico, and R. C. Mastroleo, Nucl. Phys. A **511**, 269 (1990).
[17] L.D.Faddeev,Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.39,1459(1960)[,Sov.Phys. JETP 12, 1014 (1961)].
[18] O. A. Yakubovsky, Yad. Fiz. **5**, 1312 (1967) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **5**, 1312 (1967)].
[19] M. S. Hussein, Ann. Phys. (NY), **175**, 197 (1987).